

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji – Goa

Appeal No. 29/2017

Mr. Mahesh M.Kerkar
Pawanwada Keri,
Pernem Goa

..... Appellant

V/s.

1. First Appellate Authority,
Dy. Director of Transport(North),
1st floor, Junta House,
Panaji Goa.
2. Public Information Officer,
Asst. Director of Transport(North),
KTC Bustand, Panaji Goa.
3. Public Information Officer
Asst. Director of Transport, Enforcement cell,
Junta House, Panaji Goa.
4. Public Information Officer
Asst. Director of Transport.
Bicholim Goa.

..... Respondents

CORAM:

Smt. Pratima K. Vernekar, State Information Commissioner

Filed on: 08/03/2017

Decided on: 18/07/2017

ORDER

1. In exercise of the right to information Act u/s 6(1) , the Appellant Shri Mahesh Kerkar by an application dated 20/10/16 sought certain information as stated therein in the said application from the PIO (HQ) , office of the Directorate of transport , Panaji Goa .
2. The said application was then forwarded to respective offices by the PIO (HQ) u/s 6 (3) of RTI Act ,2005 on 4/11/16 thereby requesting them to furnish the information directly to the applicant .
3. It is the case of the Applicant that he did not receive any information from the Respondent as such he preferred 1st appeal on 9/12/16 before the Respondent No.1 .

4. The Respondent No.1 FAA did not admit the said appeal on technical grounds and intimated the grounds to the applicant vide their letter dated 24/1/2017 .Vide said letter also he was directed to re submit the same after complying with the instructions .
5. Being aggrieved by the action of Respondents, the Appellant then approached this commission by way of second appeal filed u/s 19 (3) of the RTI Act, 2005 on 28/2/17 thereby seeking prayer for directions to the Respondent NO.2 PIO for furnishing him the complete and correct information as sought by him vide his application dated 20/10/16 and for invoking Penal provisions against the Respondents.
6. In pursuant to the notice of this commission, The Appellant was present in person .The Respondent No.2 PIO Shri Amol Gaokar was present who furnished the required information to the appellant .
7. The Appellant then submitted that he is satisfied with the information furnished to him and requested to revoke the prayer of penalty. Accordingly he endorsed his say on the memo of Appeal.
8. In view of the submissions and endorsement made by the appellant, nothing survives to be decided in present Appeal proceedings .
9. Appeal disposed accordingly , proceedings stands closed

Notify the parties.

Authenticated copies of the Order should be given to the parties free of cost.

Aggrieved party if any may move against this order by way of a Writ Petition as no further Appeal is provided against this order under the Right to Information Act 2005.

Pronounced in the open court.

Sd/-
(Ms. Pratima K. Vernekar)
State Information Commissioner
Goa State Information Commission,
Panaji-Goa

